

Varsity Public Forum Debate ballot

SFCFL 1: West Broward - Oct. 20, 2018

Round 1 Sect. R - Room 343 - Judge Y6 **Frank Tamen** (Miami-Beach Senior High)Debaters: Roshni Salwani and Isabella Viera (Cypress Bay Hi VISA - **B**) vs. Maggie Rubens and Brenda Reiter (Nova High Scho RERU - **Z**)

PRO TEAM	CON TEAM
Code Cypress Bay Hi VISA Team Points: <u>28</u>	Code Nova High Scho RERU Team Points: <u>29</u>
1st Debater <u>Roshni Salwani</u>	1st Debater <u>Maggie Rubens</u>
2nd Debater <u>Isabella Viera</u>	2nd Debater <u>Brenda Reiter</u>
TEAM POINTS: Outstanding = 27-30; Above Average = 25-27; Average = 22-25; Below Average = 18-22	
The team that won this debate is <u>Reru</u> representing the PRO / CON (circle one). No Low Point Wins!! (Code)	
Judge Signature: <u>Frank Tamen</u>	Judge's School: <u>Miami Beach</u>

Order of debate:

1st Pro (4 min.),	1st Pro Summary (2 min.),	Preparation Time: 2 minutes per team.
1st Con (4 min.),	1st Con Summary (2 min.),	
Crossfire (3 min.),	Grand Crossfire (3 min.),	
2nd Pro (4 min.),	2nd Pro Final Focus (2 min.),	
2nd Con (4 min.),	2nd Con Final Focus (2 min.),	
Crossfire (3 min.)		

COMMENTS TO DEBATERS:

Roshni: Good points and well-organized. Speech too fast. No eye contact on first speech.
 Maggie: Good points and well organized. Speech too fast. Good eye contact.
 Isabella: Some argument difficult to follow, good final rebuttal speech.
 Brenda: Effective refutation of affirm. case. Very intense delivery, speech too fast.
 All debaters should pare down number of arguments to explain the best points more fully and slowly.

REASONS FOR MY DECISION:

Close debate, effective arguments on both sides, each side did well meeting the other side's contentions.
 Strong points for Affirmative - Much R+D spending is from govt, Large numbers of people can't afford needed medicine.
 Strong points for Negative - Huge loss of revenue to pharm. corps. Would reduce R+D and result in fewer new drugs. Public assistance available to help lower income people pay for meds.
 Black market argument was not persuasively explained.
 Argument that drug co. lobbying would make price control law ineffective doesn't deal with contention of Should there be such controls, but Affir never addressed that.

Varsity Public Forum Debate ballot

SFCFL 1: West Broward - Oct. 20, 2018

Round 1 Sect. L - Room 211 - Judge S1 **Ellis Fraser** (Charles Flanagan High School)

Debaters: Osvaldo Orta and Brianna Velazquez (G. Holmes Brad ORVE - M) vs. Andrew Siegel and Emma Smith (Nova High Scho SMSI - Z)

PRO TEAM 25 Code G. Holmes Brad ORVE Team Points: 25 1st Debater <u>OS</u> 2nd Debater <u>BR</u>	CON TEAM 29 Code Nova High Scho SMSI Team Points: 29 1st Debater <u>AS</u> 2nd Debater <u>ES</u>
TEAM POINTS: Outstanding = 27-30; Above Average = 25-27; Average = 22-25; Below Average = 18-22	
The team that won this debate is <u>SMSI</u> representing the PRO <input checked="" type="radio"/> CON (circle one). No Low Point Wins!! (Code)	
Judge Signature: <u>EF</u> Judge's School: <u>CWFHS</u>	

Order of debate: 1st Pro (4 min.), 1st Con (4 min.), Crossfire (3 min.), 2nd Pro (4 min.), 2nd Con (4 min.), Crossfire (3 min.)

1st Pro Summary (2 min.), 1st Con Summary (2 min.), Grand Crossfire (3 min.), 2nd Pro Final Focus (2 min.), 2nd Con Final Focus (2 min.)

Preparation Time: 2 minutes per team.

COMMENTS TO DEBATERS:

I love you guys Passion! Don't let that affect your flow.

I Do not understand The Generic Drug argument at all. It sounds like you're telling me that aff maintains status quo. why would I vote for change if there won't be one? I'd work on Arguments more grounded in Argumentation. The Ethics of Investors is Literally Impossible to prove.

Con Work on verbage. Phrases like "I think" and "I'm pretty sure" hurt your convincingness. Bringing up the insurance argument was really smart. EMMA is killing it. Andrew I think you can add more dynamics to your side of the debate. Be more confident. Have more swag. You're killing it

RFD

Aff Relied 2 heavily on the Ethics of Investors I felt. And dropping the argument that referred to the Insurance Companies was Huge that you'll are both super talented though. I can't wait to see what the future holds for you guys!

Varsity Public Forum Debate ballot

SFCFL 1: West Broward - Oct. 20, 2018

Round 1 Sect. T - Room 512 - Judge Y7 **Joan Silverstein** (Miami Beach Senior High)


Debaters: Amanda Pena and Hannah Liu (Charles Flanag PELI - **S**) vs. Isaac Ratzan and Volodymyr Zhuravsky (American Herit RAZH - **O**)

PRO

PRO TEAM	CON TEAM
Code Charles Flanag PELI Team Points: <u>28</u>	Code American Herit RAZH Team Points: <u>30</u>
1st Debater <u>Hannah Liu</u>	1st Debater <u>Isaac Ratzan</u> CON
2nd Debater <u>Amanda Pena</u>	2nd Debater <u>Volodymyr Zhuravsky</u>

TEAM POINTS: Outstanding = 27-30; Above Average = 25-27; Average = 22-25; Below Average = 18-22

The team that won this debate is Amer. Herit RAZH - O representing the **PRO / CON** (circle one).
No Low Point Wins!! (Code)

Judge Signature:  Judge's School: Miami Beach High School.

Order of debate:

1st Pro (4 min.),	1st Pro Summary (2 min.),	Preparation Time: 2 minutes per team.
1st Con (4 min.),	1st Con Summary (2 min.),	
Crossfire (3 min.),	Grand Crossfire (3 min.),	
2nd Pro (4 min.),	2nd Pro Final Focus (2 min.),	
2nd Con (4 min.),	2nd Con Final Focus (2 min.),	
Crossfire (3 min.)		

COMMENTS TO DEBATORS:

REASONS FOR MY DECISION:

Amer. Heritage did an excellent job at a highly clear & persuasive arguments, and cited numerous relevant articles & statistics. They also effectively countered the pro-argument of ~~high~~ affordability & impact of early lives.

Charles Flanagan's argument was strong at first, but ultimately they became defensive and failed to counter the con argument.

Varsity Public Forum Debate ballot

SFCFL 1: West Broward - Oct. 20, 2018

Round 3 Sect. V - Room 516 - Judge L13 Kelly Medrano (Ft Lauderdale)

Debaters: Devansh Khanna and Viraj Miriyala (Deerfield Beac KHMI - G) vs. Adam Tamsis and Nicholas Pototsky (J.P. Taravella POTA - D)

PRO TEAM		CON TEAM	
Code Deerfield Beac KHMI	Team Points: <u>27</u>	Code J.P. Taravella POTA	Team Points: <u>28</u>
1st Debater <u>DEVANSH KHANNA</u>		1st Debater <u>NICHOLAS POTOTSKY</u>	
2nd Debater <u>Viraj Miriyala</u>		2nd Debater <u>ADAM TAMISIS</u>	
TEAM POINTS: Outstanding = 27-30; Above Average = 25-27; Average = 22-25; Below Average = 18-22			
The team that won this debate is <u>CON</u> representing the PRO / CON (circle one). No Low Point Wins!! (Code)			
Judge Signature: <u>[Signature]</u>		Judge's School: <u>FT LAUD HIGH</u>	

Order of debate:

1st Pro (4 min.),
1st Con (4 min.),
Crossfire (3 min.),
2nd Pro (4 min.),
2nd Con (4 min.),
Crossfire (3 min.)

1st Pro Summary (2 min.),
1st Con Summary (2 min.),
Grand Crossfire (3 min.),
2nd Pro Final Focus (2 min.),
2nd Con Final Focus (2 min.)

Preparation Time: 2 minutes per team.

COMMENTS TO DEBATERS:

DEVANSH VERY STONG SPEAKING VOICE. NICHOLAS HAD NICE EYE CONTACT AND WAS VERY PREPARED WITH HIS ARGUMENT, ADAM ^{HAD} VERY GOOD EYE CONTACT, VIRAJ COULD MAKE A LITTLE MORE EYE CONTACT AND TO SPEAK UP A LITTLE - BUT NICE JOB ASKING FOR THE CARD.

REASONS FOR MY DECISION:

I VOTED FOR THE CON TEAM BECAUSE THEY HAD MUCH MORE STATISTICS ABOUT WHY A PRICE CAP WOULD NOT BE A GOOD IDEA. THAT THE MONEY HAS TO GO BACK FOR RESEARCH TO CREATE NEW MEDICINES AND KEEP TRYING TO HAVE A BREAK THROUGH FOR BETTER MEDICINES. ~~AND~~ ALSO, I THINK THE VALID POINT WAS ALSO THAT IF THERE IS A PRICE CAP THE COMPANIES WOULD INFLATE IT WAY UP.

Varsity Public Forum Debate ballot

SFCFL 1: West Broward - Oct. 20, 2018

Round 4 Sect. A - Room 147 - Judge Y5 **Mindy Glazer** (Miami Beach Senior High)

Debaters: Daien Brodsky and Rudolph Reid (Western High S BRRE - F) vs. Hamza Ali and Ameen Farraj (Stoneman Dougl ALFA - R)

PRO TEAM Code Western High S BRRE Team Points: <u>29</u> 1st Debater <u>Rudolph Reid</u> 2nd Debater <u>Daien Brodsky</u>	CON TEAM Code Stoneman Dougl ALFA Team Points: <u>28</u> 1st Debater <u>Ameen Farraj</u> 2nd Debater <u>Hamza Ali</u>
TEAM POINTS: Outstanding = 27-30; Above Average = 25-27; Average = 22-25; Below Average = 18-22	
The team that won this debate is <u>F</u> representing the PRO / CON (circle one). No Low Point Wins!! (Code)	
Judge Signature: <u>Mindy Glazer</u> Judge's School: <u>Miami Beach Sr. High.</u>	

Order of debate: 1st Pro (4 min.), 1st Con (4 min.), Crossfire (3 min.), 2nd Pro (4 min.), 2nd Con (4 min.), Crossfire (3 min.)
 1st Pro Summary (2 min.), 1st Con Summary (2 min.), Grand Crossfire (3 min.), 2nd Pro Final Focus (2 min.), 2nd Con Final Focus (2 min.)
Preparation Time: 2 minutes per team.

① Rudolph Reid
COMMENTS TO DEBATERS:
 great job!
 Good questions on crossfire.

① Ameen Farraj
 Try to look up once in a while when reading.
 Good job! Good responses on crossfire

② Daien Brodsky
 speak a little slower.
 Don't say "like" so much
 In final focus - good job

② Hamza Ali
 Communicated clearly. Try and use all your time. You should respond to opponents questions on crossfire and ask questions.
 In final focus - good job - good examples

REASONS FOR MY DECISION:

① Rudolph Reid
 Used evidence effectively
 Communicated clearly.
 Good summary

① Ameen Farraj
 Used evidence effectively.
 Communicated clearly
 Good summary

② Daien Brodsky
 Communicated ideas clearly
 Good questions on crossfire

② Hamza Ali
 Communicated clearly
 Didn't speak Need more substance to argument
 Good, logical summary
 For final focus - need more substance

Varsity Public Forum Debate ballot

SFCFL 1: West Broward - Oct. 20, 2018

Round 5 Sect. AD - Room 555 - Judge L13 **Kelly Medrano** (Ft Lauderdale)

Debaters: Tali Peretz and Alexander Sherer (Miami Beach Se PESH - Y) vs. Anwesh Katragadda and Nick Smith (Cypress Bay Hi SMKA - B)

PRO TEAM Code Miami Beach Se PESH Team Points: <u>30</u> 1st Debater <u>Tali Peretz</u> 2nd Debater <u>Alexander Sherer</u>	CON TEAM Code Cypress Bay Hi SMKA Team Points: <u>29</u> 1st Debater <u>Anwesh Katragadda</u> 2nd Debater <u>Nick Smith</u>
TEAM POINTS: Outstanding = 27-30; Above Average = 25-27; Average = 22-25; Below Average = 18-22	
The team that won this debate is <u>PRO</u> representing the PRO / CON (circle one). No Low Point Wins!! (Code) Judge Signature: <u>Kelly Medrano</u> Judge's School: <u>FT LAUD HIGH</u>	


Order of debate: 1st Pro (4 min.), 1st Con (4 min.), Crossfire (3 min.), 2nd Pro (4 min.), 2nd Con (4 min.), Crossfire (3 min.)

1st Pro Summary (2 min.), 1st Con Summary (2 min.), Grand Crossfire (3 min.), 2nd Pro Final Focus (2 min.), 2nd Con Final Focus (2 min.)

Preparation Time: 2 minutes per team.

COMMENTS TO DEBATORS:

Tali - excellent speaking + eye contact
 Alexander - wonderful job! Excellent



Anwesh - very nice presentation / eye contact / very engaging
 Nick - great speaking voice + eye contact -

REASONS FOR MY DECISION:

I WENT WITH THE PRO TEAM BECAUSE I REALLY ~~APRECIATED~~ APPRECIATED THAT THEY BELIEVE IT WILL SAVE LIVES IF WE HAVE A PRICE CAP ON MEDICATIONS. That generic medications are equally as good as the name brand and much cheaper ~~for~~ so people can afford them. I also thought the arguement about how its working in Europe + other countries was very compelling.

Novice Public Forum Debate ballot

SFCFL 1: West Broward - Oct. 20, 2018

Round 4 Sect. G - Room 158 - Judge T4 ~~Matthew Brothers~~ (Dr. Michael Krop Senior High) ~~Kristen Murre~~

Debaters: Max Gelber and Caroline Hartley (Miami Beach Se HAGE - Y) vs. Raymond Adderly and Leah Edwards (Ft Lauderdale ADED - L)

PRO TEAM	CON TEAM
Code Miami Beach Se HAGE Team Points: <u>29</u>	Code Ft Lauderdale ADED Team Points: <u>26</u>
1st Debater <u>Caroline Hartley</u>	1st Debater <u>Leah Edwards</u>
2nd Debater <u>Max Gelber</u>	2nd Debater <u>Raymond Adderly</u>
TEAM POINTS: Outstanding = 27-30; Above Average = 25-27; Average = 22-25; Below Average = 18-22	
The team that won this debate is <u>Miami Beach Se HAGE</u> representing the PRO / CON (circle one). No Low Point Wins!! (Code)	
Judge Signature: <u>[Signature]</u>	Judge's School: <u>Ransom Everglades</u>

Order of debate:

- ✓ 1st Pro (4 min.),
- ✓ 1st Con (4 min.),
- ✓ Crossfire (3 min.),
- ✓ 2nd Pro (4 min.),
- ✓ 2nd Con (4 min.),
- ✓ Crossfire (3 min.)

- ✓ 1st Pro Summary (2 min.),
- ✓ 1st Con Summary (2 min.),
- ✓ Grand Crossfire (3 min.),
- ✓ 2nd Pro Final Focus (2 min.),
- ✓ 2nd Con Final Focus (2 min.)

Preparation Time: 2 minutes per team.

COMMENTS TO DEBATERS:

Caroline - quoted sources ^{strong} statistics very good & price controls vs. negotiations? a bit monotone / fast! good content, covered many points to support argument. sources good in summary

Leah - good ^{credible} sources ~~at~~ to support statements fast too! answers to question was a bit convoluted - better to take some time to think about answer and then be direct. jump into crossfire!

REASONS FOR MY DECISION:

Max - countered arguments well and then reinforced the main arguments. Not many sources to substantiate claims. Good response to questions. Substantiate

Raymond - use sources for the new arguments example loss of job, raising taxes. brought in new arguments that rounded out the overall argument but need resources. tempo was good - sometimes saw fewer but more effective is good and that worked argument on 1.3 cents was not logical.

Novice Public Forum Debate ballot

SFCFL 1: West Broward - Oct. 20, 2018

Round 4 Sect. H - Room 159 - Judge L13 Kelly Medrano (Ft Lauderdale)

Debaters: John Wood and Sabastian Toole (Miami Beach Se TOWO - Y) vs. Andres Caballero and Antonio Ponte (Belen Jesuit POCA - A)

PRO TEAM	CON TEAM
Code Miami Beach Se TOWO Team Points: <u>28</u>	Code Belen Jesuit POCA Team Points: <u>27</u>
1st Debater <u>SABASTIAN TOOLE</u>	1st Debater <u>ANDRES CABALLERO</u>
2nd Debater <u>John Wood</u>	2nd Debater <u>Antonio Ponte</u>

TEAM POINTS: Outstanding = 27-30; Above Average = 25-27; Average = 22-25; Below Average = 18-22

The team that won this debate is PRO representing the **PRO / CON** (circle one).
No Low Point Wins!! (Code)

Judge Signature: Kelly Medrano Judge's School: FT LAUD HIBT SCHOOL

- Order of debate:**
- 1st Pro (4 min.)
 - 1st Con (4 min.)
 - Crossfire (3 min.)
 - 2nd Pro (4 min.)
 - 2nd Con (4 min.)
 - Crossfire (3 min.)
- Preparation Time:** 2 minutes per team.

COMMENTS TO DEBATERS:

John - nice eye contact - ~~strong~~ - great info - ^{maybe slow} down -

Sebastian - very passionate argument - ^{more} eye contact - nice speaking voice

Andres - Watch your time - ^{more} eye contact - nice speaking voice

Antonio - watch your time - very nice speaking voice

REASONS FOR MY DECISION:

I went with the Pro team because they had more valid points on why price control should be in place. I especially ~~the~~ liked the ~~status~~ information concerning saving more lives due to lowering the prices of all the drugs. Hospitals do need to have a full staff and they need to be able to afford medications.

Novice Public Forum Debate ballot

SFCFL 1: West Broward - Oct. 20, 2018

Round 3 Sect. F - Room 156 - Judge Y5 Mindy Glazer (Miami Beach Senior High)

Debaters: India Philistin and Jennifer Albert (North Miami Se ALPH - Q) vs. Angelina Smith and Joyce Williams (Coral Glades H SMWI - N)

PRO TEAM	CON TEAM
Code North Miami Se ALPH Team Points: <u>27</u>	Code Coral Glades H SMWI Team Points: <u>26</u>
1st Debater <u>India Philistin</u>	1st Debater <u>Angelina Smith</u>
2nd Debater <u>Jennifer Albert</u>	2nd Debater <u>Joyce Williams</u>
TEAM POINTS: Outstanding = 27-30; Above Average = 25-27; Average = 22-25; Below Average = 18-22	
The team that won this debate is <u>Q</u> representing the PRO / CON (circle one). No Low Point Wins!! (Code)	
Judge Signature: <u>Mindy Glazer</u> Judge's School: <u>Miami Beach Sr. High</u>	

Order of debate:

1st Pro (4 min.),	1st Pro Summary (2 min.),	Preparation Time: 2 minutes per team.
1st Con (4 min.),	1st Con Summary (2 min.),	
Crossfire (3 min.),	Grand Crossfire (3 min.),	
2nd Pro (4 min.),	2nd Pro Final Focus (2 min.),	
2nd Con (4 min.),	2nd Con Final Focus (2 min.),	
Crossfire (3 min.)		

PRO
 ① **COMMENTS TO DEBATERS:** India Philistin
 speak slower & clearly
 Need to work on making logical arguments
 Speaks louder on grand crossfire

CON Angelina Smith
 ① Don't look down when reading papers on desk
 speak slower. Look up once in a while
 Need to work on making logical argument.
 summary should be longer, speak louder

② Jennifer Albert
 spoke coherently. should speak longer.
 speak louder
 Final focus- good job

② Joyce Williams
 Too short, not much substance. speak longer
 and make coherent arguments
 I thought you had a good presence and
 you spoke coherently. good question on crossfire
 Final Focus- good job

REASONS FOR MY DECISION:

① India Philistin
 Good argument. Good question on
 crossfire & good rationale answer
 Used evidence to support argument.
 communicated clearly.

② Angela Smith
 Good argument and relied on data to support
 position. good question on crossfire.
 communicated clearly
 Need more substance to support argument

③ Jennifer Albert
 Spoke clearly, coherently and supported
 argument with data.
 Good response on crossfire.
 speak louder on grand crossfire

③ Joyce Williams
 Spoke slowly and coherently. Argument
 should be longer than 30 seconds.
 Asked good question on crossfire.
 Need to add more substance to argument

Novice Public Forum Debate ballot

SFCFL 1: West Broward - Oct. 20, 2018

Round 2 Sect. M - Room 184 - Judge Y5 **Mindy Glazer** (Miami Beach Senior High)

Debaters: Gabriela McFarlane and Dominic Vassallo (Ft Lauderdale MCVA - L) vs. Christian Pommells and Mikhail Isaac (Archbishop McC POIS - H)

PRO TEAM	CON TEAM
Code Ft Lauderdale MCVA Team Points: <u>27</u>	Code Archbishop McC POIS Team Points: <u>28</u>
1st Debater <u>Gabriela McFarlane</u>	1st Debater <u>Mikhail Isaac</u>
2nd Debater <u>Dominic Vassallo</u>	2nd Debater <u>Christian Pommells</u>

TEAM POINTS: Outstanding = 27-30; Above Average = 25-27; Average = 22-25; Below Average = 18-22

The team that won this debate is H representing the **PRO / CON** (circle one).
No Low Point Wins!! (Code)

Judge Signature: Mindy Glazer Judge's School: Miami Beach Sr. High

Order of debate:

1st Pro (4 min.),	1st Pro Summary (2 min.),	Preparation Time: 2 minutes per team.
1st Con (4 min.),	1st Con Summary (2 min.),	
Crossfire (3 min.),	Grand Crossfire (3 min.),	
2nd Pro (4 min.),	2nd Pro Final Focus (2 min.),	
2nd Con (4 min.),	2nd Con Final Focus (2 min.),	
Crossfire (3 min.)		

PRO COMMENTS TO DEBATERS:

① Gabriela McFarlane
Don't just read from paper. Look up once in a while. Good argument. Spoke coherently. Asked good questions in crossfire. Gave good answers. Summary - communicated clearly. Try not to say "um".

② Dominic Vassallo
Don't start off asking question. Speaks slower. Difficult to understand argument b/c you spoke too fast.
Grand crossfire - Good question
Final Focus - Good

① Mikhail Isaac CON
Good argument. Speak slower b/c a little hard to understand. Persuasive argument. Don't just read from iPad. Look up once in a while. Asked good question on crossfire summary. Good ideas. ~~but~~ speak slower. Difficult to understand some words.

② Christian Pommells
Made logical argument. Gave data/facts to support argument. Argument should be a little longer. Communicated ideas clearly.
Grand crossfire - good answer / good questions
Final focus - excellent argument & analysis

REASONS FOR MY DECISION:

① Gabriela McFarlane
Gave logical argument. Spoke clearly. Position supported by facts. Excellent job.

② Mikhail Isaac
Good logical argument. Need to speak a little clearly at times. Position supported by data.

③ Dominic Vassallo
Made good arguments, needs to work on communicating ideas clearly. Slowing down may be useful to present argument more clearly.

③ Christian Pommells
Made logical argument and counter argument. Communicated clearly. Excellent job.

Novice Public Forum Debate ballot

SFCFL 1: West Broward - Oct. 20, 2018

Round 2 Sect. L - Room 168 - Judge L13 **Kelly Medrano** (Ft Lauderdale)

Debaters: Donrolston St. Phard and Techara Milligan (McArthur High PHMI - AA) vs. Kelly Clark and Carson Marcil (Cardinal Gibbo CLMA - E)

PRO TEAM		CON TEAM	
Code McArthur High PHMI	Team Points: <u>26</u>	Code Cardinal Gibbo CLMA	Team Points: <u>29</u>
1st Debater <u>DONROLSTON ST PHARD</u>		1st Debater <u>CARSON MARCIL</u>	
2nd Debater <u>TECHARA MILLIGAN</u>		2nd Debater <u>KELLY CLARK</u>	
TEAM POINTS: Outstanding = 27-30; Above Average = 25-27; Average = 22-25; Below Average = 18-22			
The team that won this debate is <u>CON</u> representing the PRO / CON (circle one). No Low Point Wins!! (Code)			
Judge Signature: <u>Kelly Medrano</u>		Judge's School: <u>FT LAUD - H16H</u>	

Order of debate:

1st Pro (4 min.),
1st Con (4 min.),
Crossfire (3 min.),
2nd Pro (4 min.),
2nd Con (4 min.),
Crossfire (3 min.)

1st Pro Summary (2 min.),
1st Con Summary (2 min.),
Grand Crossfire (3 min.),
2nd Pro Final Focus (2 min.),
2nd Con Final Focus (2 min.)

Preparation Time: 2 minutes per team.

COMMENTS TO DEBATERS:

DONROLSTON COULD HAVE MADE A LITTLE MORE EYE CONTACT. - TECHARA COULD HAVE ALSO BEEN A LITTLE MORE PREPARED AND HAD MORE QUESTIONS FOR CROSSFIRE, YOUR CONTENTIONS COULD BE MORE CLEAR AS WELL. KELLY, JUST TRY TO WATCH YOUR TIME - ~~YOUR~~ ENTHUSIASM IS GREAT.

REASONS FOR MY DECISION:


CARSON YOU + KELLY WERE VERY PREPARED WITH YOUR FACTS, YOU BOTH HAD GREAT QUESTIONS FOR YOUR CROSSFIRE. I CHOSE THE CON TEAM BECAUSE OF THE FACTS AND STATISTICS ABOUT HOW IT WOULD AFFECT THE SUPPLY + DEMAND AND MAKE IT HARDER TO KEEP UP WITH IT IF THERE IS A PRICE CAP.

Novice Public Forum Debate ballot

SFCFL 1: West Broward - Oct. 20, 2018

Round 2 Sect. I - Room 165 - Judge S1 **Ellis Fraser** (Charles Flanagan High School)

Debaters: Andres Caballero and Antonio Ponte (Belen Jesuit POCA - A) vs. Shinaika Hillier and Gianmarco Martinez (Ft Lauderdale HIMA - L)

PRO TEAM	CON TEAM
Code Belen Jesuit POCA Team Points: <u>23</u>	Code Ft Lauderdale HIMA Team Points: <u>24</u>
1st Debater _____	1st Debater _____
2nd Debater _____	2nd Debater _____
TEAM POINTS: Outstanding = 27-30; Above Average = 25-27; Average = 22-25; Below Average = 18-22	
The team that won this debate is <u>HIMA</u> representing the PRO / CON (circle one). No Low Point Wins!! (Code)	
Judge Signature: 	Judge's School: <u>CWFHS</u>

Order of debate: 1st Pro (4 min.), 1st Con (4 min.), Crossfire (3 min.), 2nd Pro (4 min.), 2nd Con (4 min.), Crossfire (3 min.)

1st Pro Summary (2 min.), 1st Con Summary (2 min.), Grand Crossfire (3 min.), 2nd Pro Final Focus (2 min.), 2nd Con Final Focus (2 min.)

Preparation Time: 2 minutes per team.

~~1:50~~ 1:05

COMMENTS TO DEBATERS:

~~7 seconds left of prep~~
 Communication is important, but don't whisper so loudly.
 Just be confident. You have good arguments. Addressed debates?
 Cross Ex is time 2 ask questions.
 The Govt spending argument is really weak IMO.
 You are asserting that it fixes opioid crisis

REASONS FOR MY DECISION:

but have yet to tell me how. Grand cross means both of you can ask questions/answer when you're out of prep time you're O.A. ~~then~~ side conversations cut. I would manage that better in the future.

I think you can be more aggressive in cross ex. You were onto something w/ the opioid question
 Just saying they broke the law in reference 2 the opioid crisis is a gross over simplification of that issue.
 Don't let him just reiterate his case in cross ex. Grand cross meant both parties can ask questions/answer.

RFD

(on the wins of RFD argument. Pro needed to address that better. No draws = X

Varsity Lincoln Douglas Debate ballot

SFCFL 1: West Broward - Oct. 20, 2018

Matthew Gonzalez

Round 3 Sect. R - Room 256 - Judge W3 ~~Scott Rowe~~ (South Plantation High School)

Debaters: Zachary Friend (Cypress Bay Hi FR - B) vs. Harrison Swartz (Ft Lauderdale SC - L)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

School / Code: **Cypress Bay Hi FR**

School / Code: **Ft Lauderdale SC**

Name: **Zachary Friend** Points (0-30): 23 27

Name: **Harrison Swartz** Points (0-30): 28 29

The Winning Debater was Harrison Swartz Upholding the [AFF] **(NEG)**

The points you award *must* correlate with your decision. Remember, this *is* debate and not related individual oration. No Low Point Wins!

Judge's Signature *[Signature]* Judge's School Gr. Holmes Braddock

Time Limits for Lincoln-Douglas Debate are as follows:

Each Debater shall have up to 4 minutes of Preparation Time to use throughout the Round at their discretion.

Affirmative Constructive	6 minutes
Cross Examination by Negative	3
Negative Constructive	7
Cross Examination by Affirmative	3
First Affirmative Rebuttal	4
Negative Rebuttal	6
Second Affirmative Rebuttal	3

Speaker Point Scale:

Outstanding	= 26 - 30
Good	= 21 - 25
Fair	= 18 - 20
Below Average	= 15 - 17

Scores less than 15 are to be used for unacceptable conduct.

REASONS for DECISION

- the debater in negation was the superior speaker, poking holes in the Aff. arguments, while the Affirmation failed to defend the necessary points in the resolution

- Moral Particularism
- Aff. framework (Neg-Democracy)