

Novice Lincoln Douglas Debate ballot

SFCFL 1: Western - Oct. 22, 2016

Round 4 Sect. H - Room 527 - Judge N14 **Richard Wooley** (Ft Lauderdale)

Debaters: Emanuelle Dooreck-Aloni (Ransom Everglades DO - **AG**) vs. Cara Bryan (J.P. Taravella BR - **AE**)

NOTE: There WILL be a 5th Round of LD!!!

AFFIRMATIVE

School / Code: **Ransom Everglades DO**

Name: **Emanuelle Dooreck-Aloni** Points (0-30): 29

NEGATIVE

School / Code: **J.P. Taravella BR**

Name: **Cara Bryan** Points (0-30): 25

The Winning Debater was Emanuelle Upholding the [AFF] ~~[NEG]~~

The points you award must correlate with your decision. Remember, this is debate and not related individual oration. No Low Point Wins!

Judge's Signature [Signature] Judge's School FLHS

Time Limits for Lincoln-Douglas Debate are as follows:

Each Debater shall have up to 4 minutes of Preparation Time to use throughout the Round at their discretion.

Affirmative Constructive	6 minutes
Cross Examination by Negative	3
Negative Constructive	7
Cross Examination by Affirmative	3
First Affirmative Rebuttal	4
Negative Rebuttal	6
Second Affirmative Rebuttal	3

Speaker Point Scale:

Outstanding	= 26 - 30
Good	= 21 - 25
Fair	= 18 - 20
Below Average	= 15 - 17
Scores less than 15 are to be used for unacceptable conduct.	

REASONS for DECISION

Affirmative had better citations, as well as better connections between data and arguments.

Negative had great points, but needed to elaborate a little more.

Both parties could use a little work on rebuttal.

Excellent debate!

Thank you, participants!

Novice Lincoln Douglas Debate ballot

SFCFL 1: Western - Oct. 22, 2016

Round 4 Sect. B - Room 527 - Judge N14 **Richard Wooley** (Ft Lauderdale)

Debaters: Ravin Anderson (Cypress Bay Hi AN - S) vs. Bayaan Mohamed (Nova High Scho MO - E)

NOTE: There WILL be a 5th Round of LD!!!

AFFIRMATIVE

School / Code: Cypress Bay Hi AN

Name: Ravin Anderson Points (0-30): 26

NEGATIVE

School / Code: Nova High Scho MO

Name: Bayaan Mohamed Points (0-30): 25

The Winning Debater was Ravin Upholding the [NEG]

The points you award must correlate with your decision. Remember, this is debate and not related individual oration. No Low Point Wins!

Judge's Signature [Signature] Judge's School FLHS

Time Limits for Lincoln-Douglas Debate are as follows:

Each Debater shall have up to 4 minutes of Preparation Time to use throughout the Round at their discretion.

Affirmative Constructive	6 minutes
Cross Examination by Negative	3
Negative Constructive	7
Cross Examination by Affirmative	3
First Affirmative Rebuttal	4
Negative Rebuttal	6
Second Affirmative Rebuttal	3

Speaker Point Scale:
Outstanding = 26 - 30
Good = 21 - 25
Fair = 18 - 20
Below Average = 15 - 17
Scores less than 15 are to be used for unacceptable conduct.

REASONS for DECISION

Affirmative did a slightly better job using data to support argument and counter Negative's argument.

Great Debate!

A pleasure to watch and listen!

Novice Lincoln Douglas Debate ballot

SFCFL 1: Western - Oct. 22, 2016

Round 4 Sect. D - Room 531 - Judge AE7 **Maureen Weinstein** (J.P. Taravella High School)

Debaters: Johnathan Risi (McArthur High RI - AA) vs. Joshua Kim (Cypress Bay Hi KI - S)

NOTE: There WILL be a 5th Round of LD!!!

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

School / Code: **McArthur High RI**

School / Code: **Cypress Bay Hi KI**

Name: **Johnathan Risi** Points (0-30): ~~28~~ 26

Name: **Joshua Kim** Points (0-30): ~~28~~ 26

The Winning Debater was Joshua (Neg) Upholding the [AFF] [NEG]

The points you award must correlate with your decision. Remember, this is debate and not related individual oration. No Low Point Wins!

Judge's Signature [Signature] Judge's School J.P. TARAVELLA

Time Limits for Lincoln-Douglas Debate are as follows:	Affirmative Constructive	6 minutes	Speaker Point Scale:
Each Debater shall have up to 4 minutes of Preparation Time to use throughout the Round at their discretion.	Cross Examination by Negative	3	Outstanding = 26 - 30
	Negative Constructive	7	Good = 21 - 25
	Cross Examination by Affirmative	3	Fair = 18 - 20
	First Affirmative Rebuttal	4	Below Average = 15 - 17
	Negative Rebuttal	6	Scores less than 15 are to be used for unacceptable conduct.
	Second Affirmative Rebuttal	3	

REASONS for DECISION

<p><u>Aff</u> <u>Johnathan</u></p> <p>1) Difficult to understand monotone Some ^{Some} read ^{read} with ^{with} stick ^{stick}</p> <p>2) at ^{at} beginning ^{beginning} Did not give effective eye contact (read up everything as affirmative but for constructive) ^{warm-up}</p> <p>3) don't use of gesture</p> <p>4) volume not appropriate good attention</p> <p>5) ^{time over} difficult to follow ^{some} sentence</p> <p>- Good Example in cross examination by affirmative</p> <p>5 - ^{good use of} better eye contact with debater</p> <p>- Good ag questions</p> <p>* went over his time for cross examination</p> <p>- little class</p>	<p>we were distracted by what was going on next door.</p>	<p><u>Neg</u> <u>Joshua Kim</u></p> <p>- Good question</p> <p>- Good volume</p> <p>- cross examination by negative</p> <p>- stating ^{stating} well ^{well} done ^{done}</p> <p>- 1 min time out of negative</p> <p>- negative constructive</p> <p>- read up, monotone</p> <p>- difficult words to</p> <p>- some example</p> <p>- difficult to follow some</p> <p>- go ^{go} excellent come back of cross examination</p> <p>- need more eye contact with Judge (read stick)</p> <p>- Good video</p> <p>- don't use gesture but stand up.</p>
--	---	---

Novice Lincoln Douglas Debate ballot

SFCFL 1: Western - Oct. 22, 2016

Round 4 Sect. F - Room 531 - Judge AE7 **Maureen Weinstein** (J.P. Taravella High School)

Debaters: Jorge Soto (Christopher Co SO - U) vs. Laura Saint Charles (Coral Springs CH - J)

NOTE: There WILL be a 5th Round of LD!!!

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

School / Code: **Christopher Co SO**

School / Code: **Coral Springs CH**

Name: **Jorge Soto** Points (0-30): 26

Name: **Laura Saint Charles** Points (0-30): 25

The Winning Debater was ~~Christopher Co SO~~

Affirmative

Upholding the **[AFF]** **[NEG]**

The points you award must correlate with your decision. Remember, this is debate and not related individual oration. No Low Point Wins!

Judge's Signature

Judge's School

J.P. TARAVELLA

Time Limits for Lincoln-Douglas Debate are as follows:

Each Debater shall have up to 4 minutes of Preparation Time to use throughout the Round at their discretion.

Affirmative Constructive	6 minutes
Cross Examination by Negative	3
Negative Constructive	7
Cross Examination by Affirmative	3
First Affirmative Rebuttal	4
Negative Rebuttal	6
Second Affirmative Rebuttal	3

Speaker Point Scale:
Outstanding = 26 - 30
Good = 21 - 25
Fair = 18 - 20
Below Average = 15 - 17
Scores less than 15 are to be used for unacceptable conduct.

REASONS for DECISION

Jorge Soto

Laura Saint Charles

- Good volume
- Read up and looking occasionally at his speech
- good arguments and examples
- touching his hair (Need to pay attention to that)
- ^{good} consistent extension
- good cross examination by Affirmative
- point out the source where he presented his argument
- Need to look at Judge more sometime
- Short answer but effective support
- weak sometime in bringing more arguments
- Good use to look at opponent's arguments to help himself in the second affirmative rebuttal

- ^{good} He looks at her opponent
- ended quickly for cross examination
- speak too fast (used word) ^{stumble} _{difficult}
- Need to look at Judge more during for negative constructive.
- lots of gesture with her hands.
- good volume.
- weak arguments and ^{conclusion}
- ~~weak~~ Need more specific citation for evidence

Novice Lincoln Douglas Debate ballot

SFCFL 1: Western - Oct. 22, 2016

Round 4 Sect. G - Room 526 - Judge F6 **Michael Sheehan** (West Broward High)

Debaters: Syed Tahir (Ft Lauderdale TA - N) vs. Diego Rivera (Western High S RI - X)

NOTE: There WILL be a 5th Round of LD!!!

AFFIRMATIVE

School / Code: **Ft Lauderdale TA**

Name: **Syed Tahir** Points (0-30): 29

NEGATIVE

School / Code: **Western High S RI**

Name: **Diego Rivera** Points (0-30): 29.5

The Winning Debater was Diego Rivera Upholding the [AFF] **[NEG]**

The points you award must correlate with your decision. Remember, this is debate and not related individual oration. No Low Point Wins!

Judge's Signature [Signature] Judge's School West Broward

Time Limits for Lincoln-Douglas Debate are as follows:

Each Debater shall have up to 4 minutes of Preparation Time to use throughout the Round at their discretion.

Affirmative Constructive	6 minutes
Cross Examination by Negative	3
Negative Constructive	7
Cross Examination by Affirmative	3
First Affirmative Rebuttal	4
Negative Rebuttal	6
Second Affirmative Rebuttal	3

Speaker Point Scale:	
Outstanding	= 26 - 30
Good	= 21 - 25
Fair	= 18 - 20
Below Average	= 15 - 17
Scores less than 15 are to be used for unacceptable conduct.	

REASONS for DECISION

Aff Constructive: Too fast. Good run down of Definition, value and criterion. Too fast. Lost comprehensiveness of message student is trying to communicate

Cross Exam Neg: Good question. justice
Best question of day so far. Very clear.
Affirmative answered much more than was asked.

Negative Constructive: Articulate; provides good run down.
Supports Qualified Immunity; greater good.
Cites 3 examples of good faith benefit
out weighs the minor evils; the protection of the few are to protect the greater good.

Cross Exam Aff: Good counter points; Too fast → difficult to understand. Excellent debate potential.

~~Defensive~~ **Aff Rebuttal:** Value premise of morality is more starter; too fast.

→

Novice Lincoln Douglas Debate ballot

SFCFL 1: Western - Oct. 22, 2016

Round 4 Sect. A - Room 526 - Judge F6 **Michael Sheehan** (West Broward High)

Debaters: Isra Idris (J.P. Taravella ID - **AE**) vs. Ryan Doll (Christopher Co DO - **U**)

NOTE: There WILL be a 5th Round of LD!!!

AFFIRMATIVE

School / Code: **J.P. Taravella ID**

Name: **Isra Idris** Points (0-30): 29

NEGATIVE

School / Code: **Christopher Co DO**

Name: **Ryan Doll** Points (0-30): 26

The Winning Debater was Isra Idris Upholding the **[AFF]** [NEG]

The points you award must correlate with your decision. Remember, this is debate and not related individual oration. No Low Point Wins!

Judge's Signature [Signature] Judge's School West Broward

Time Limits for Lincoln-Douglas Debate are as follows:

Each Debater shall have up to 4 minutes of Preparation Time to use throughout the Round at their discretion.

Affirmative Constructive	6 minutes
Cross Examination by Negative	3
Negative Constructive	7
Cross Examination by Affirmative	3
First Affirmative Rebuttal	4
Negative Rebuttal	6
Second Affirmative Rebuttal	3

Speaker Point Scale:

Outstanding	= 26 - 30
Good	= 21 - 25
Fair	= 18 - 20
Below Average	= 15 - 17
Scores less than 15 are to be used for unacceptable conduct.	

REASONS for DECISION

- Affirmative:** *ought to limit Qualified Immunity*
Good articulation; excellent use of real world examples
3 contentions summed up in a conclusion.
- Cross Exam Neg:** *are prototypic rights? Student unable to clearly counter argument of the affirmative.*
- Negative Construct:** *unable to understand student; too nervous*
- Cross Exam Aff:** *Ask 1 question to clarify Negative's position*
- Rebuttal AFF:** *Countered and rebutted Negative's argument outlined 2 specific examples why*
- Negative Rebuttal:** *Made 1 counterpoint -> everyone is treated equal
Unfortunately, student could not articulate very well and was too nervous*
- 2nd Aff Rebuttal:** *Conclusion succinct but did capture essence of her argument.*

Novice Lincoln Douglas Debate ballot

SFCFL 1: Western - Oct. 22, 2016

Round 4 Sect. E - Room 538 - Judge U1 **Randall Martinez** (Christopher Columbus High School)

Debaters: Laura Weinstein (J.P. Taravella WE - **AE**) vs. Omar Llerena (Dr. Michael Kr LL - **AI**)

NOTE: There WILL be a 5th Round of LD!!!

AFFIRMATIVE

School / Code: **J.P. Taravella WE**

Name: **Laura Weinstein** Points (0-30): _____

NEGATIVE

School / Code: **Dr. Michael Kr LL**

Name: **Omar Llerena** Points (0-30): _____

FORGET

The ~~Winning Debater was~~ _____ Upholding the [AFF] [NEG]

The points you award must correlate with your decision. Remember, this is debate and not related individual oration. No Low Point Wins!

Judge's Signature _____ Judge's School _____

Time Limits for Lincoln-Douglas Debate are as follows:

Each Debater shall have up to 4 minutes of Preparation Time to use throughout the Round at their discretion.

Affirmative Constructive	6 minutes
Cross Examination by Negative	3
Negative Constructive	7
Cross Examination by Affirmative	3
First Affirmative Rebuttal	4
Negative Rebuttal	6
Second Affirmative Rebuttal	3

Speaker Point Scale:
Outstanding = 26 - 30
Good = 21 - 25
Fair = 18 - 20
Below Average = 15 - 17
Scores less than 15 are to be used for unacceptable conduct.

REASONS for DECISION

Win - Taravella WE

Michael KRUP LL - NO SHOW

Novice Lincoln Douglas Debate ballot

SFCFL 1: Western - Oct. 22, 2016

Round 4 Sect. C - Room 529 - Judge J6 **Anna Curry** (Coral Springs High School)

Debaters: Marcos Cibran (Christopher Co CI - U) vs. Zarah Abdoollah (Nova High Scho AB - E)

NOTE: There WILL be a 5th Round of LD!!!

AFFIRMATIVE

School / Code: **Christopher Co CI**

Name: **Marcos Cibran** Points (0-30): 26

NEGATIVE

School / Code: **Nova High Scho AB**

Name: **Zarah Abdoollah** Points (0-30): 28

The Winning Debater was Zarah Abdoollah AB Upholding the [AFF] **[NEG]**

The points you award must correlate with your decision. Remember, this is debate and not related individual oration. No Low Point Wins!

Judge's Signature Anna Curry Judge's School CSHS

Time Limits for Lincoln-Douglas Debate are as follows:

Each Debater shall have up to 4 minutes of Preparation Time to use throughout the Round at their discretion.

Affirmative Constructive	6 minutes
Cross Examination by Negative	3
Negative Constructive	7
Cross Examination by Affirmative	3
First Affirmative Rebuttal	4
Negative Rebuttal	6
Second Affirmative Rebuttal	3

Speaker Point Scale:

Outstanding	= 26 - 30
Good	= 21 - 25
Fair	= 18 - 20
Below Average	= 15 - 17
Scores less than 15 are to be used for unacceptable conduct.	

REASONS for DECISION

Needs to be more confident, did have good evidence to support her argument. When CX - couldn't answer the question directly

CX - Had very good questions very confident with her speech. Had great evidence to support her argument.

Neg - Had better argument!

Novice Lincoln Douglas Debate ballot

SFCFL 1: Western - Oct. 22, 2016

Round 4 Sect. I - Room 538 - Judge U1 **Randall Martinez** (Christopher Columbus High School)

Debaters: Chaela De Belen (Nova High Scho BE - E) vs. Morgan Lucas (Ft Lauderdale LU - N)

NOTE: There WILL be a 5th Round of LD!!!

AFFIRMATIVE

School / Code: **Nova High Scho BE**

Name: **Chaela De Belen** Points (0-30): 27.5

2:30

The Winning Debater was NOVA BE

NEGATIVE


School / Code: **Ft Lauderdale LU**

Name: **Morgan Lucas** Points (0-30): 27

3:22

Upholding the [AFF] [NEG]

The points you award must correlate with your decision. Remember, this is debate and not related individual oration. No Low Point Wins!

Judge's Signature 

Judge's School COLUMBUS HS

Time Limits for Lincoln-Douglas Debate are as follows:

Each Debater shall have up to 4 minutes of Preparation Time to use throughout the Round at their discretion.

Affirmative Constructive	6 minutes
Cross Examination by Negative	3
Negative Constructive	7
Cross Examination by Affirmative	3
First Affirmative Rebuttal	4
Negative Rebuttal	6
Second Affirmative Rebuttal	3

Speaker Point Scale:

Outstanding	= 26 - 30
Good	= 21 - 25
Fair	= 18 - 20
Below Average	= 15 - 17
Scores less than 15 are to be used for unacceptable conduct.	

- Great case! Very well researched. **REASONS for DECISION**

- Don't just focus on your case in the rebuttal, attack your opponents case.

The 2 AR should focus on voting issues & telling the judge what to vote on.

In the NC, be sure to attack the Aff case during this speech! Use cross-ex as an opportunity to understand all aspects of the Aff case. Also, feel free to use more prep time before the NC.

Speech Times	
1 AC	- 5 min
1 NC	- 3 min
1 AR	- 1 min
1 NR	- :40 sec.
1 AR	- 1:20 min

Both debaters didn't really interact with their opponents case. The Aff does a good job explaining that victim compensation is important. Neg argues, QI protects the "good cop" from unnecessary lawsuits. Since both debaters agree on retributive justice as the standard, there's a more pressing obligation to victims than police officers.